China Patent & Trademark Agent (U.S.A.) LTD. 55 Broad Street, 15th Floor, New York, NY 10004, U.S.A. Tel: (1-212)8098100 Munich Office CHINA PATENT AGENT (H.K.) LTD. Zweibrueckenstrasse 17, D-80331 Munich, Germany Tel: (49-89)2289328 中国专利代理(香港)有限公司 Patent, Trademark, Copyright & Legal Affairs Beijing Office B-19/F., Investment Plaza, Tokyo Office 22/F., GREAT EAGLE CENTRE, Room 1003, Bureau Toranomon 27 Jinrong Street Xicheng District 2-7-16 Toranomon Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0001, Japan 23 HARBOUR ROAD, WANCHAI, HONG KONG. Beijing 100032, China. Tel.: (86-10) 66211588 TEL.: (852)28284688 FAX: (852)28271018 Tel: (81-3)52511966 Please reply to Hong Kong office. ☐ COMP RECORD NOKIA IPR DEPARTMENT 'Fax No: 4411252865080 I FILE RECORD NOKIA HOUSE SUMMIT AVENUE FARNBOROUGH HAMPSHIRE GU14 0NG . 1 9 FES 2004 UNITED KINGDOM

CITATIONS

🔲 INV AWARD

☐ RENEWAL RECORD

Re: Chinese Patent Application for Invention No. 01143784.7

In the name of **NOKIA CORPORATION**.

Title: A CONNECTOR

Attn.: MR. EAN DAVIES

Your Ref: PAT00037CN(NC33507)

Our Ref: CPME0142640

CONFIRMATION

Date: February 14, 2004

(IP7241)

Dear Mr. Davies,

Enclosed for your review are a First Office Action issued on the subject patent application on January 16, 2004 and an English translation thereof, together with a copy of the reference cited by the examiner. A response is due on or before May 31, 2004, readily extendable up to July 31, 2004 upon request and payment of extension fees.

Turning to the Office Action, the examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7-9 and 11 under Article 22(2) of the Chinese Patent Law as lacking novelty over CN1250535A. He alleges that the reference has disclosed all the technical elements of the invention. The examiner has also rejected claims 3, 5 and 10 under Article 22(3) of the Chinese Patent Law as lacking inventiveness over this reference. To traverse the examiner's grounds of rejection, we would appreciate receiving your comments as to the differences between the invention and the prior art, and any advantages attributable to the differences. For your reference, Article 22(2) and 22(3) of the Chinese Patent Law reads as follows,

"Novelty means that, before the date of filing, no identical invention...has been publicly disclosed in publications in the country or abroad or has been publicly used or made known to the public by any other means in the country, nor has any other person filed previously with the Patent Office an application which described the identical invention...and was published after the said date of filing."

"Inventiveness means that, as compared with the technology existing before the date of filing, the invention has prominent substantive features and represents a notable progress...."

In addition, the examiner has objected to claims 12 and 13 as being obscure. He alleges that the features recited in claims 12 and 13 are functional statements and should be substituted by specific structural features for fulfilling such functions. Your comments in this respect or instructions on how to amend claims 12 and 13 would be highly appreciated.

Claims 4-6, 8-12 and 14 are objected to for multiple dependencies. After reviewing the file, we recommend amending claims 4-6, 8-12 and 14to depend from claim 1 or 2.

The examiner has also objected to claim 17 as being unclear. As the Chinese Patent Office does not accept omnibus claims, we propose to delete this claim.

By the way, we note that the CN1250535A is the corresponding Chinese application of WO9841946. Anyway, if you need a translation of this reference, please let us know as soon as possible.

Please review the Action and the reference, and provide us with your comments and instructions well before the due date.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

Zheng Jiahhui

Encl.

## CPME0142640

## Patent Office of the People's Republic of China

Address: Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office, No. 6 Tucheng Road West, Haidian District, Beijing.Postal code: 100088

Applicant	NOKIA CORP	NOKIA CORPORATION		Date of Issue
Agent	China Patent	China Patent Agent (H.K.) Ltd.		January 16, 2004
Patent : Application N	lo. 01143784.7	Application December 21, Date: 2001	Exam de Dept.	
Title of Invention +	A CONNECTOR	And the state of t	The part of the second	
		First Office Action		

First Office Action		
Description of Article 35 (1) of the Chinese Patent Law, the examiner made an examination as to substance of the captioned patent application for invention upon the request for substantive examination filed by the applicant.		
☐ Pursuant to the provision of Article 35 (2) of the Chinese Patent Law, the Chinese Patent Office has decided to conduct on its own initiative an examination as to substance of the captioned patent application for invention.		
The applicant requests taking the filing date, <u>December 21, 2000</u> , at the <u>GB</u> Patent Office, the filing date,, at the Patent Office, the filing date,, at the Patent Office as the priority date of the present application.		
A copy of the first filed patent application certified by the receiving organ of the initial country of filing has been submitted by the applicant.		
☐ A copy of the first filed patent application certified by the receiving organ of the initial country of filing has not been submitted by the applicant. Pursuant to the provision of Article 30 of the Chinese Patent Law, no priority right shall be deemed to have been claimed.		
3. The applicant filed amended application document(s) on		
and  Examination has confirmed that filed on cannot be accepted, filed on cannot be accepted,		
as the above amendment(s) $\square$ is/are not in conformity with the provision of Article 33 of		
the Chinese Patent Law.		
☐ is/are not in conformity with the provision of Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.		
☐ For the specific reason that the amendment(s) cannot be accepted, see the text of		
the Office Action.		

2201

4. ☐ The examination is conducted in the light of the origin ☐ The examination is conducted in the light of the follo in the original application documents subm Claim(s), page(s) of the drawing(s); Claim(s), page(s) Figure(s) submitted on; Conducted in the light of the following in the light of the lig	wing application document(s): nitted on the filing date: of the description, Figure(s)of the description, Claim(s), page (s)
<ul> <li>5. □ The present Office Action has been prepared wo conducted.</li> <li>☑ The present Office Action has been prepared conducted.</li> </ul>	with a search having been
☑ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in number(s) will, continue to be used throughout the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expenses.  ☐ The following reference document(s) is/are cited in the expe	kamination procedure):
No. Number or Title of Document	Date of Publication (or filing date of interfering application)
1 CN1250535A	April 12, 2000
2	(Date)
3	(Date)
4	
5	
6	
6. The concluding comments of the examiner are:	
☐ On the description:	
☐ The content of the application comes within the s	scope where no patent right is
granted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law.	
$\square$ The description is not in conformity with the provision	on of Article 26(3) of the Patent
Law.	
☐ The drafting of the description is not in conformity with Implementing Regulations.	tn the provision of Rule 18 of the
☑ On the claims:	is granted as provided in Article
Claim comes within the scope where no patent right 25 of the Patent Law.	is grained as provided in Amele
☐ Claim is not in conformity with the definition of	invention in Rule 2(1) of the
Implementing Regulations.	miverment in Reie 2(1) or me
✓ Claim 1, 2, 4, 6, 7-9, 11 does not possess no	velty as provided in Article 22(2)
of the Patent Law.	
☑ Claim <u>3.5,10</u> does not possess inventivene the Patent Law.	ess as provided in Article 22(3) of
☐ Claim does not possess practical applicabi	ility as provided in Article 22(4) of
the Patent Law.	, ,
☐ Claim is not in conformity with the provision	on of Article 26(4) of the Patent

Lav	w.
	claim is not in conformity with the provision of Article 31(1) of the Patent
La	
	Claim 12-17 is not in conformity with the provisions of Rules 20-23 of the
	plementing Regulations.
	laim is not in conformity with the provision of Article 9 of the Patent Law.  laim is not in conformity of the provision of Rule 12(1) of the Implementing
	egulations.
IN	egolanoris.
	ecific analyses of the above concluding comments, see the text of this Office tion.
7. In	view of the above concluding comments, the examiner holds that:
red be Pa	e applicant should amend the application document in accordance with the quirements raised in the text of this Office Action. The amended document(s) should submitted in duplicate and should conform to the provisions of Article 33 of the Itent Law and Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.
pc reg	e applicant should expound in his Observations the reasons why the captioned atent application is patentable and amend the places not conforming to gulations as pointed out in the text of the Office Action, otherwise it would be possible for the patent right to be granted.
□ The	e captioned patent application contains no substantive content for which the atent right may be granted, thus if the applicant has not advanced his reasons or as not done so adequately, the application will be rejected.
	ne applicant should pay attention to the following matters:
(	<ol> <li>In accordance with the provision of Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant should submit his/its Observations within <u>four</u> months from the date of receipt of this Office Action; if, without any justified reason, the time limit for making</li> </ol>
	response is not met, the application will be deemed to have been withdrawn.
(:	2) The amendments made by the applicant to his application should conform to the provision of Article 33 of the Patent Law, the amended text should be in
	duplicate and the format should conform to the relevant provisions of the
	Guidelines for Examination.
(:	3) The applicant's Observations or amended text should be mailed or presented
	to the Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office. Document no mailed or
,	presented to the Acceptance Section have no legal force.  4) Without making an appointment, the applicant and/or agent may not come to
1	the Chinese Patent Office to hold an interview with the examiner.
	nis Office Action consists of the text portion totalling <u>three</u> page(s) and of
	ne following annex(es):
	duplicate copies of the reference document(s) cited totalling
-	age(s).

2201 3

Your Ref: PAT00037CN (NC33507)

Our Ref: CPME 0142640

Text of the First Office Action

As stated in the description, the present application relates to a connector. Upon

examination, the Examiner's comments are hereby made as follows:

1. Claim 1 claims an electrical connector for connection to a mating connector.

Reference document 1 (CN1250535A) has disclosed a card reader connector having

resilient electric brush contact and, in particular, the following technical features: a

sheet of electrical insulating material (see line 2, page 3 of the description and Fig.1,

reference sign 3, equivalent to substrate supporting a contact in claim 1); and electric

brush contacts (see line 3, page 3 of the description and Fig.1, reference sign 2,

equivalent to the contact in claim 1), wherein the contacts are resilient metal (see lines

4 and 14, page 3 of the description and Fig.1) having a first end protruding from a

notch. Thus, it can be seen that said reference document has disclosed all the technical

features of said claim. Besides, the technical solution disclosed in said reference

document and that claimed in said claim are of the same technical field and capable of

producing the same technical effect. Therefore, the technical solution claimed in

claim1 does not possess any novelty, so said claim is contrary to the provision of

Article 22, para. two, of the Patent Law.

2. The additional technical feature of dependent claim 2 has also been disclosed

in reference document 1 (see line 4, page 3 of the description and Fig.1). Therefore,

said claim does not possess any novelty as provided for in Article 22, para. two, of the

Patent Law.

. 3. The additional technical feature of dependent claim 3 is of the publicly known

1

knowledge in the art and is obvious to those skilled in the art. Therefore, said claim does not possess any prominent substantive features, nor represent a notable progress, so said claim does not possess any inventiveness as provided in Article 22, para. three, of the Patent Law.

- 4. The additional technical feature of dependent claim 4 has also been disclosed in reference document 1 (see line 14, page 3 of the description and Fig. 1). Therefore, said claim does not possess any novelty as provided for in Article 22, para. two, of the Patent Law.
- 5. The additional technical feature of dependent claim 5 is of the publicly known knowledge in the art and is obvious to those skilled in the art. Therefore, said claim does not possess any prominent substantive features, nor represent a notable progress, so said claim does not possess any inventiveness as provided in Article 22, para. three, of the Patent Law.
- 6. The additional technical features of dependent claims 6, 7 and 8 have also been disclosed in reference document 1 (see lines 6-7, page 3 of the description and Fig.1). Therefore, said claims do not possess any novelty as provided for in Article 22, para. two, of the Patent Law.
- 7. The additional technical feature of dependent claim 9 has also been disclosed in reference document 1 (see lines 4-5, page 3 of the description and Figs.6 and 7, reference sign 5, equivalent to the means in claim 9). Therefore, said claim does not possess any novelty as provided for in Article 22, para. two, of the Patent Law.
- 8. The additional technical feature of dependent claim 10 is of the publicly known knowledge in the art and is obvious to those skilled in the art. Therefore, said claim does not possess any prominent substantive features, nor represent a notable progress, so said claim does not possess any inventiveness as provided in Article 22,

para. three, of the Patent Law.

- 9. The additional technical feature of dependent claim 11 has also been disclosed in reference document 1 (see line 3, page 3 of the description and Fig.1, reference sign 2, equivalent to the means in claim 9). Therefore, said claim does not possess any novelty as provided for in Article 22, para. two, of the Patent Law.
- 10. Claim 12 fails to state the specific structural features of the connector that supports a contact on each of two opposing surfaces of the substrate. It is impossible for the Examiner to obtain the structure of the connector from said claim. Thus, the scope of protection of said claim has been rendered unclear. Therefore, said claim is contrary to the provision of Rule 20, para. one, of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
- 11. Claim 13 fails to state the specific structural features of the connector that is arranged to make contact with two mating parts arranged on opposing sides of the substrate. It is impossible for the Examiner to obtain the structure of the connector from said claim. Thus, the scope of protection of said claim has been rendered unclear. Therefore, said claim is contrary to the provision of Rule 20, para. one, of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
- 12. In addition, dependent claims 12-16, multiple dependent claims per se, refer to the preceding multiple dependent claims 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11. Therefore, said claims are contrary to the provision of Rule 23, para. two, of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should make amendments to the dependencies of said claims.
- 13. Claim 17 contains reference to the accompanying drawings, which is contrary to the provision of Rule 20, para. three, of Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should delete said claim therefrom.

The applicant should make a reply to matter raised herein within the time limit for response prescribed herein and make amendments to the application documents when necessary; otherwise, it will be impossible for the present application to be granted the patent right. The amendments to the application documents should comply with the provisions of Article 33 of the Patent Law and may not go beyond the scope of disclosure contained in the original description and claims.